	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Notice of Allowability	10/019,781	NONO, TOMOYUKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
· ·	Daquan Zhao	2621
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to Amendments filed on 1/30/2007.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-9, 11, 13, 15-17, and 19 (renumber as 1-15).		
<ul> <li>3.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unerstanding a)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ul>		
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.  THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached		
1) 🔲 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5   Nation of Informal D	atant Annliaatian
Notice of References Cited (P10-692)     Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5. Notice of Informal P	, ,
	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat	e
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),     Paper No./Mail Date	7. 🔲 Examiner's Amendn	nent/Comment
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	nt of Reasons for Allowance
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9.	
·	•	

Art Unit: 2621

## Reasons for Allowance

The present invention is directed to a recording/viewing support apparatus for predicting the digital broadcasts signal quality due to the weather condition at the time of the programs scheduled and notifying the user the predicting result of the image or sound quality. The combination of Boyer et al (U.S. 6,268,849) and Megata (JP 36-3200689) fails to work for the following reasons. Megata discloses a television tuner, which receives the real/current time television signal. The system of Megata analyzes the image quality of the real time TV signal at the time of rainfall and notifies user the current image quality. Boyer et al teach weather forecast information (shown in figure 7) at the location where the digital broadcast program received. The combination of the two prior arts are not compatible because the TV tuner of Megata can only analyze the real time TV signal, and there is no suggestion in Megata that it can analyze the weather forecast data of Boyer et al shown in figure 7 to predict the image quality in a digital environment.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."